Determination of the price by a third party

A contract to deliver a thing at a price determinable by a 3<sup>rd</sup> party is recognized in our law as ascertainable content and therefore valid provided the 3<sup>rd</sup> party is ascertained or ascertainable.

A contract to deliver a thing at a price determinable by a 3<sup>rd</sup> party is subject to a suspensive condition.

Problem arises when one of the parties prevents the fulfillment of the condition by e.g. destroying the thing sold. It could be fictitious fulfillment or prevention of performance

## Fictitious fulfillment

The price is regarded as fixed when it is not. The court cannot fix its own price but must try to determine what the 3<sup>rd</sup> parties' price would have been according to all the available evidence. The doctrine of fictitious fulfillment rests on a fiction, in that the condition is deemed to have been fulfilled when it has not.

## Prevention of Performance

A solution would be to consider the party who is preventing the 3<sup>rd</sup> party from determining the price as committing a breach of contract i.e. prevention of performance. The innocent party can claim damages if he rescinds the contract or not. The court will have to calculate a price for the damages, a price that the 3<sup>rd</sup> party would have stipulated.