

⑥

- 1) L lessor may not disturb L lessee in his possession

Once L property is delivered to L lessee L lessor may not disturb L lessee in his commodus usus unless lawfully eg if he is required to inspect L property or when L lessor needs to effect necessary repairs

## STUDY UNIT 7 start here!

- 1) L lessor must deliver the thing in a specific condition & maintain it thus.

- 1) L condition of L property @ L time it is made available

- Where L thing has been let for a specific purpose, it must be delivered in such a condition that it will be fit for that purpose. Where there is an express agreement about L condition of L thing L lessor must comply with it. A lease creates continuous obligations

- Poynton v Cran L condition in which L thing is delivered must be in accordance with L provisions, express or taut of L agreement. If L contract contains ~~No~~ no express agreement L lessor must deliver L thing in L condition it was when L contract was entered into.

Therefore when L thing is delivered there must

(b)

be no defects contrary to L contract. If L defects occurred after L contract was concluded but during L subsistence of L lease. L lessor is compelled to effect repairs provided NO stipulations to L contrary.

2) L condition of L property during L currency of L lease

due to wear & tear, deterioration  
only once + property has reached such a stage  
where L property is no longer fit for L purpose  
for which it was let, L lessor compelled to  
do repairs to comply with L contractual  
obligation of keeping L property in a fit state.

fault

L lessor is only liable for breach of  
contract if he was aware that L defect  
occurred after contract was concluded  
L lessee must give L lessor notice  
unless L lessor was aware or ought to  
have known as an expert.

L landlord is only liable for the repairs of  
structural defects & not structural improvements  
(except if it is for + purpose served by L  
rented property)

7

If 1 lessee undertakes to effect repairs must he also repair structural defects?

In Salmon V Dedlow

Court held; 1 lessee will only be required to make repairs  $\neq$  are needed to keep a house in a good condition. He will not be required by contract or common law to make structural alterations.

From all cases principle is  $\neq$  subsidiary parts of 1 property must be replaced or renewed by 1 lessee (if he has undertaken to do repairs), but he doesn't have to renew 1 whole thing to ensure its continued existence.

lessee not obliged to effect improvements  
 If 1 lease contains a clause casting an obligation on 1 lessee to maintain 1 lessee is not obliged to improve 1 thing & return to 1 lessor in a better condition than what it was when it he took occupation

Where 1 roof is no longer capable of repair, 1 lessee will not be obliged to put on a new roof. Such a renewal must be effected by 1 landlord

### 3) Contractual Duty or Ex lege Warranty?

If it involves ordinary duties i.e. 1 duty to deliver the thing in a specific condition & maintain it thus, 1 lessor will not be held liable if 1 thing was not @ any time in 1 required condition; if 1 lessor was unaware or 1 lessor ought to have reasonably been aware or she had taken all precautions against it.

Fault is a requirement for liability for breach of contract but not a requirement by virtue of breach of warranty. When dealing with an ex lege warranty in 1 case of 1 lease. 1 fact + she was unaware of 1 reputed defect & 1 it was not possible for him to have reasonably been aware or he/she had taken every reasonable precaution will not avail 1 lessor.

### REMEDIES

#### 4.1) Cancellation

1 lease may be cancelled if there is a major breach of contract, if 1 condition of 1 property on delivery is unfit for 1 purpose for which it was let or during 1 lease it falls into 1 condition or it cannot be repaired, 1 lessor may cancel 1 contract.

## 4.2 Specific Performance

A tenant may only use this remedy in case of ordinary repairs & not structural improvements.

In Marais v Cloete it was held that an obligation to repair is so vague that the court cannot supervise an order of specific performance.

Although according to our courts he/she cannot enforce specific performance, a lessee may obtain (as a result of specific performance) by making repairs himself & deducting his costs from rent, provided the lessor has failed to comply with the lessee's demand for repairs. If the lessee does this without notice to the lessor, the lessor is not liable for the cost of repairs.

## 4.3 Remission of Rent

This can be claimed if the lessor has suffered a minor inconvenience & the lessor failed to comply with his request for repairs. Remission of rent must be claimed in accordance with the degree of inconvenience suffered.

## 4.4. Damages

may be claimed as a result of a loss suffered due to a defect.

### (i) Tenant's Knowledge

If lessor knows about a defect at the time when contract is entered into, lessee loses claim for damages. Lessee must ask lessor for warranty to safeguard himself. Lessee not required to inspect property before concluding lease. If he does inspect he will lose his claim for damages in respect of all patent defects that existed at the time of inspection.

### (2) Landlord's actual knowledge of defect.

If landlord knows of a defect, he is liable for loss caused by such defect. If landlord gives a warranty that property is free from defects and he is unaware of there is a defect, nonetheless he is still liable.

### (3) Where lessor should have known of a defect.

Old writers: hold that lessor is not bound to compensate a lessee for loss sustained because lessor failed to maintain the premises in proper condition unless lessor knew or ought to have known of a defect.

Couper holds that lessor should be liable for loss suffered by lessee caused by a defect even if lessor had no knowledge of a defect.

4) Where 1 lessor has no actual or constructive knowledge.

If landlord has no knowledge he is not liable unless <sup>he gave</sup> express warranty. Cooper holds that in addition to 1 lessee's claim for damages for 1 defect, he may cancel 1 contract if 1 defect is serious or claim remission of rent where 1 defect is less serious.

## STUDY UNIT 8

### THE LANDLORD MUST GUARANTEE 1 TENANT AGAINST EVICTION

Warranty against Eviction binds 1 lessor to compensate 1 lessee who was evicted from 1 whole or part of 1 property by a 3rd person with a better title.

If 1 lessor has no title and 1 owner ejects 1 lessee, 1 lessor is liable for damages, unless 1 lessee was aware of 1 lessor's lack of title.

#### \* Glatthaar V Hussan - Facts

Plaintiff owned a portion of a farm, he sold it to one Coetze but before Coetze could acquire ownership, Coetze let 1 property to defendant who went into occupation. Coetze never became owner as he failed to pay 1 purchase price. After sale was cancelled, plaintiff sued defendant for ejection. Defendant argued that he was lawfully in position as lessee because he had paid 4 years rent in advance. The plea for an order for ejection was recognised/granted.

## Court's decision

Hussan is not void of remedy. It is Coetze who must make good to Hussan whatever loss he has incurred.

### Wessels J said

It is true that if I let you another's land, If I do so you cannot question my title nor can I deny your right to hold the land against me; but this in no way will prejudice your rights of the true owner.

- \* A lessor may validly let something belonging to another. Furthermore lessor does not guarantee his title. His only obligation on the lessor is to place the lessee & maintain the lessee's undisturbed possession.

*law presumes warranty against eviction*

As in the case of contracts of sale the law presumes ex lege warranty in the case of contracts of lease as well. For e.g. lessor does not guarantee against unlawful hindrances.

So any threats of eviction does not yet constitute breach of contract by the lessor.

So a lessee would not have any claim against the lessor if she leaves as soon as a 3rd person threatens to evict her.

She must carry on paying the rent. If she fails, she breaches the contract.

Warranty does not apply to Acts of God & expropriation.

## Remedies

### Cancellation

L lessee may cancel or rescind L contract if totally evicted or evicted to a serious degree

### Damages

L lessee will irrespective of his knowledge have a right to damages only when lessor terminates rights voluntarily.

## STUDY UNIT 10 (TENANTS' DUTIES)

### ① THE TENANT MUST PAY THE RENT

**CERTAINTY** - essential element of a contract

If performance is vague, contract void for vagueness. Contracts in which L performance are left by parties or a party to decide @ a later stage are invalid

Certainty of performance can be attained in 2 ways:

- 1) Defining performance (Obligations parties wish to create)
- 2) Identifying an external standard by which L performance should be determined.